

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/748,259 12/27/2000 Yuji Aburakawa 201222US2 4738 EXAMINER 22850 7590 08/24/2004 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. BELLO, AGUSTIN 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 2633 DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/748,259	ABURAKAWA ET AL.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit
	Agustin Bello	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	,
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>		
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		-
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:	•	
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 11-18.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)  disapproved by th	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the applicant's amendment raised new issues as to whether or not the combination of references continue to meet the limitations of the claimed invention.

M. R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

m.R. See